

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability

Complaint No. DC-23-90016

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).



Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: December 28, 2023

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

Complainant, a pro se litigant, filed a complaint against President Biden, a relative of the President, and a retired federal judge. The complainant alleged that the defendants violated several Constitutional Amendments by their alleged involvement in “domestic violence, and rape, and Sodomy, Moral Turpitude, identity theft, over observation, false imprisonment, white slavery, pimping, sex trafficking, money laundering, torture, control of property, human rights, civil rights, breaking and entering a habitation, vandalism of plaintiff[’s] vehicle, [and] distribution of steroids.” The complainant demanded that the court “compel defendants [] to a jury trial, and to order the six feet rule[.]” The complaint contained no other information. The complainant moved for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and for a temporary restraining order. The subject judge dismissed the complaint without prejudice, finding that “[t]he court cannot exercise subject matter jurisdiction over a frivolous complaint.” The judge also granted the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and denied the motion for a temporary restraining order.

The complainant then filed the instant judicial misconduct complaint against the subject judge. The complaint asserts in its entirety: “Now comes Plaintiff stating that The Honorable Judge has violated my civil rights and my human rights, by denying me access to the court.” The complainant, however, has failed to provide any support for his allegation that the judge committed misconduct by violating his rights and denying him access to the court. Accordingly, the complaint lacks “sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred,”

and will be dismissed. JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), Rule 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days after the date of the dismissal order. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(b).