United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7088

September Term, 2017

1:18-cv-00840-UNA

Filed On: September 7, 2018

L. Ruther,

Appellant

٧.

Canada and Justine Trudeau,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Henderson and Srinivasan, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing; the supplement to the brief; and the attachment to the brief, which has been construed as a motion for initial hearing en banc and for a jury trial, it is

ORDERED that the motion for initial hearing en banc and for a jury trial be denied. See Fed. R. App. P. 35(a). It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's May 16, 2018 order be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion by dismissing appellant's case without prejudice on the ground that the complaint did not meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). Appellant's complaint did not contain a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction or of the claim showing that he is entitled to relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7088

September Term, 2017

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk