Hnited States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 21-5196

September Term, 2021

1:21-cv-01871-UNA

Filed On: January 25, 2022

Franklin C. Smith,

Appellant

v.

Tibbef, U.S. Deputy Marshal, and his supervisor in collusion with Florida Marshals and other officers,

Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Rogers and Pillard, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed August 5, 2021, dismissing the case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that the complaint failed to set out "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," with sufficient factual information to meet the minimum pleading standards of Rule 8(a). The various arguments raised in appellant's brief do not cast doubt on this conclusion.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 21-5196

September Term, 2021

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk