

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 12-5053

September Term 2011

1:12-mc-00035-UNA

Filed On: August 1, 2012

Eric Flores,

Appellant

v.

Attorney General of the United States,  
Department of Justice, et al.,

Appellees

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Tatel and Brown,  
Circuit Judges

### J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed January 19, 2012 be affirmed. The court correctly held that a pro se litigant may not prosecute a case in federal court on behalf of others, see 28 U.S.C. § 1654, the complaint is in any event clearly frivolous, and no certification to the Supreme Court is warranted.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam