## United States Court of Appeals

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-7144

September Term, 2017

FILED ON: MAY 25, 2018

JAKKS PACIFIC, INC.,

**APPELLANT** 

v.

ACCASVEK LLC, ET AL.,
APPELLEES

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (No. 1:16-mc-01977)

Before: Garland, Chief Judge, and Srinivasan and Millett, Circuit Judges.

## <u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and on the briefs and oral arguments of the parties. The Court has afforded the issues full consideration and has determined that they do not warrant a published opinion. *See* D.C. CIR. R. 36(d). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia be **AFFIRMED.** 

The District Court correctly held that neither it nor the United States District Court for the Central District of California had subject-matter jurisdiction over Jakks' claim based on diversity or any other source of federal jurisdiction. We note that, on appeal, the appellant did not raise the question of whether the District Court had jurisdiction or authority to vacate the default judgment rendered by the Central District of California.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing *en banc*. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 41(b); D.C. CIR. R. 41.

## Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Michael C. McGrail

Deputy Clerk