## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-7134

September Term, 2019

1:19-cv-02567-UNA

Filed On: March 16, 2020

Joi M. Kelly,

Appellant

٧.

Commonwealth of Virginia, et al.,

**Appellees** 

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Tatel and Millett, Circuit Judges; Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's October 8, 2019 order dismissing appellant's complaint without prejudice be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that appellant's complaint failed to comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) (requiring a complaint to contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief").

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk