United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-5314

September Term, 2018

1:18-cv-02081-UNA

Filed On: March 29, 2019

Francisco Montes, Jr.,

Appellant

٧.

Roc-A-Fella, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Rogers and Wilkins, Circuit Judges; Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed October 22, 2018 be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because it is not a civil action arising under federal law, <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1331, or between citizens of different states with an amount in controversy of more than \$75,000, <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1332; nor does the complaint allege any other basis for the district court's jurisdiction.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk