United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 21-5281

September Term, 2021

1:21-cv-02422-UNA

Filed On: June 15, 2022

Larry E. Starks, Jr.,

Appellant

V.

United States Sentencing Commission and United States of America,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Katsas and Rao, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's September 27, 2021 dismissal order and November 30, 2021 order denying the motion to alter or amend the judgment be affirmed. The Declaratory Judgment Act is not an independent source of jurisdiction. C&E Servs., Inc. of Washington v. D.C. Water & Sewer Auth., 310 F.3d 197, 201 (D.C. Cir. 2002). Additionally, the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") waives sovereign immunity only for challenges to a "final agency action for which there is no other adequate remedy in a court." 5 U.S.C. § 704. The Sentencing Commission's action does not constitute an agency action because the Sentencing Commission is not an "agency" within the meaning of the APA. See Wash. Legal Found. v. U.S. Sentencing Comm'n, 17 F.3d 1446, 1450 (D.C. Cir. 1994).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 21-5281

September Term, 2021

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk