### United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-7139

September Term, 2015

1:15-cv-01668-UNA

Filed On: June 20, 2016

James Nelson.

Appellant

٧.

State of Florida, et al.,

**Appellees** 

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** 

Rogers and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges; Ginsburg, Senior Circuit

Judge

#### JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on appellant's brief. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed October 14, 2015, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed appellant's complaint for failure to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which requires "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," in order to "give the defendant fair notice of what the ... claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (internal quotation marks omitted); see also Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). Appellant also appeals the district court's denial of leave to file a proposed amended complaint after the case had been dismissed without prejudice. There was no need to reopen the case to allow appellant to file the amended complaint, however, because it suffered from the same defect as the original complaint. See Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (explaining that a district court does not abuse its discretion in denying leave to amend a complaint where amendment would be futile).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-7139

September Term, 2015

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

### Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

**By:** /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk