Hnited States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5084

September Term, 2020

1:19-cv-00387-UNA

Filed On: February 4, 2021

Steven A. Hewitt,

Appellant

v.

Antony Blinken Secretary, U.S. Department of State,

Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Pillard, Katsas, and Walker, Circuit Judges

<u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing, and the motion to appoint counsel, it is

ORDERED that the motion to appoint counsel be denied. In civil cases, appellants are not entitled to appointment of counsel when they have not demonstrated sufficient likelihood of success on the merits. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's February 28, 2019 order dismissing appellant's complaint be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that the complaint, which sought to raise new arguments with respect to an earlier case involving appellant, was barred by res judicata. <u>See Capitol Hill Group v.</u> <u>Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, LLC</u>, 569 F.3d 485, 490 (D.C. Cir. 2009); <u>Drake v.</u> <u>FAA</u>, 291 F.3d 59, 66 (D.C. Cir. 2002).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5084

September Term, 2020

is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk