

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 16-5151

September Term, 2016

1:16-cv-00894-UNA

Filed On: December 22, 2016

Nathanael Lenard Reynolds,

Appellant

v.

Martelle Morrison, Judge and Joseph S.
Mendelson, Chief Judge,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Tatel and Millett, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg,
Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's May 11, 2016 order dismissing appellant's case be affirmed. The district court properly determined that appellees are entitled to judicial immunity from appellant's claim for damages. See *Mireles v. Waco*, 502 U.S. 9, 12-13 (1991); *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 356 (1978). Any claims for injunctive or declaratory relief were properly dismissed as well. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). And, to the extent appellant sought review of orders issued by the appellees, the district court also correctly determined it lacked jurisdiction to grant that relief. See *D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 476 (1983); *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413, 415-16 (1923).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk/LD