

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-7079

September Term, 2019

FILED ON: MARCH 24, 2020

MELISSA STANLEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPRESENTATIVE OF A CLASS OF PARTICIPANTS AND BENEFICIARIES ON BEHALF OF THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY RETIREMENT PLAN FOR FACULTY AND STAFF AND THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN,

APPELLANT

v.

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ET AL.,
APPELLEES

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
(No. 1:18-cv-00878)

Before: ROGERS, GARLAND, and KATSAS, *Circuit Judges*.

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs and oral arguments of the parties. The Court has afforded the issues full consideration and has determined that they do not warrant a published opinion. *See* D.C. CIR. R. 36(d). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the judgment of the district court be **AFFIRMED**. For the reasons set out in the district court's opinion, *see Stanley v. George Wash. Univ.*, 394 F. Supp. 3d 97 (D.D.C. 2019), we conclude that appellant Stanley released

her ERISA claims as part of a prior settlement, *see* Confidential Separation Agreement and General Release at 3-4 (Sealed Appendix at 4-5). Stanley's claims are therefore properly dismissed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 12(b)(6).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing *en banc*. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 41(b); D.C. CIR. R. 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk