

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-7149

September Term, 2015

1:15-cv-01129-RJL

Filed On: September 8, 2016

Arthur D. Stubbs and Shellie J. Stubbs,
Appellants

v.

Law Office of Hunter C. Piel, LLC and Harbor
Bank of Maryland,
Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson and Pillard, Circuit Judges; Ginsburg, Senior Circuit
Judge

J U D G M E N T

Upon consideration of the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the parties' briefs, see Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2), D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j), it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed December 2, 2015, be affirmed. The appellants' response to the motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim was unresponsive to the arguments raised in the motion, and the district court therefore correctly concluded that the motion to dismiss was conceded pursuant to Local Rule 7(b). See Cohen v. Bd. of Trustees of the Univ. of the District of Columbia, 819 F.3d 476, 484 (D.C. Cir. 2016) (holding that dismissal of a complaint as conceded under Local Rule 7(b) is without prejudice to the filing of a renewed complaint).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-7149

September Term, 2015

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk